

at Southwark Coroner's Court in the County of London on the 28th day of August 2008 and by adjournment on 11th day of June 2012 at Southwark Coroner's Court in the County of London Before and by me Dr. Andrew Harris

Her Majesty's Coroner for London (Inner South)

The following matters were found

1.Name of Deceased

Sean Nicholas RIGG

2. Injury or disease causing	dooth	

10 CARDIAC ARREST

DACUTE ARRYTHMIA

· ISCHEMIA

& PARTIAL POSITIONAL ASPHYXIA

3. Time, place and circumstances at or in which injury was sustained

4. Conclusion of the Jury as to the death

Signature of Her Majesty's Coroner

Signature of Jurors, (if present)

Navative variet

5. Particulars for the time being required by the Registration Acts to be registered concerning the death

(a) Date and place of birth 11 February 1968	Birmingham	
(b) Name and Surname of deceased Sean Nicholas RIGG		
(c) Sex Male	(d) Maiden surname of woman who has married	
(e) Date and place of death Twenty - First August 2008 Kings College Hospital, Der BRIXTON POLICE	n mark Hill, C amberwell, London STATION	e e
(f) Occupation and usual address	London	

..... Dr. Andrew Harris

On the 21st August 2008 20:24, Sean Nicholas Rigg died at Brixton Police station as a result of a cardiac arrest.

Sean Rigg last took his depo on the 20th June 2008, the dose administered was 50mg Haloperidol which was half the recommended dose for his condition.

On the 11th August 2008 Sean Rigg displayed clear relapse indicators. Slams Response to these indicators was inadequate. Slam had failed to put in place a clear and adequate risk assessment and crises managment plan. Slam failed to communicate and involve Sean Riggs family. The clinical team responsible for Sean's care failed to communicate effectively amongst members of their own team and with the Fairmount staff.

The good treatment and care of Sean Rigg provided by the Fairmount staff was compromised by their failure to put in place an adequate crises plan. They were not as proactive as they could have been in effective communications with the family or the clinical team.

Communication and Crises planning between the key stakeholders, Penrose, Slam and the Police were inadequate.

Slam had failed to ensure that their patient Sean Rigg took his medication. Furthermore slams failure to undertake a Mental Health Act (MHA) assessment at or from 11th August more than minimally contributed to Sean Riggs death.

Responses by the CAD operators to calls from staff members at 2B Fairmount Road Hostel on 21st August 2008 were an unacceptable failure to act appropriately. The lack of timely police responses to calls from Fairmount road Hostel were also unacceptable and inappropriate.

There was a lack of sufficient and effective communication between the police officers at the scene of the arrest. Those Police officers did not communicate sufficiently with the CCC, IBO or the staff at Brixton Police station. The CCC, IBO and staff at Brixton Police station did not sufficiently communicate with the dispatched police officers. The IBO failed to gather crucial information that was readily accessible. This led to missed opportunities to take earlier action. The Police who were aware of relevant information regarding Sean Rigg failed to relay and verify this.

The level of force used on Sean Rigg whilst he was restrained in the prone position at the Weir estate was unsuitable. In addition there was an absence of leadership. This led to a failure to take appropriate control of the situation.

It is questionable whether the relevant police guidelines or training regarding restraint and positional asphyxia were sufficient or were followed correctly.

The restraint of Sean Rigg lasted approximately eight minutes whilst the hand cuffing took approximately thirty seconds. Sean Rigg was in the prone position throughout the entire restraint. The agreed view of the Jury is that Sean Rigg was struggling but not violently. The length of restraint in the prone position was therefore unnecessary. It is the majority view of the Jury that this more than minimally contributed to Sean's death. The majority view of the Jury is that at some point of the restraint unnecessary body weight was placed on Sean Rigg.

Up to the point of being apprehended by the Police, the condition and behaviour of Sean Rigg was that he was physically well but mentally unwell. The majority view of the Jury is that Both Sean's physical and mental health deteriorated during the period of restraint. The majority view of the Jury is that during the walk to the van Sean Rigg was physically unwell due to oxygen deprivation which occurred during his restraint in the prone position. Sean Rigg was in a V shape position in the foot well of the cage in the Police van. The majority view of the Jury is that he was in this position during the whole time that he was in the cage of the police van (19:50-20:03). Sean Rigg's physical health continued to decline during the journey in the cage of the police van, back to the Police station. Sean Rigg's mental health was already and continued to be very poor. As Sean Rigg was brought into the cage at Brixton Police station he was extremely unwell and was not fully conscious. Sean Rigg was fully unconscious by 20:11.

It was reasonable to expect the police to recognise that there was cause for concern regarding Sean's mental and physical health. It was reasonable to expect the police to have undertaken an assessment of both Sean's physical and mental condition; from the point of arrest. No assessment was done of Sean Riggs condition at any time before he became unconscious. There was an absence of actions by the Police and this was inadequate.

The police failed to identify that Sean Rigg was a vulnerable person at the point of arrest and he was therefore taken back to the police station instead of an Accident and Emergency department or Section 136 Suite, despite information about him being readily available and accessible. The Police failed to follow the Mental Health Project Team Standard Operating Procedure.

From 19:53-20:03 while Sean was inside the cage of the van, there was a lack of care by the police. Whilst in the cage of the Police station from 20:03-20:13 there was an absence of appropriate care and urgency of response by the Police which more than minimally contributed to Sean Rigg's death. Both the action and decision of the police to stand Sean Rigg up was unacceptable and inappropriate. Leaving Sean Rigg in handcuffs was unnecessary and inappropriate

The views expressed by the police officers that Sean was violent and possibly not unwell, deprived Sean of the appropriate care needed and there was a failing to secure an ambulance as quickly as possible.

Whilst Sean Rigg was in custody the Police failed to uphold his basic rights and omitted to deliver the appropriate care.

Despite the efforts of the police to resuscitate Sean Rigg using CPR, and later the efforts of the London Ambulance Service and Kings College Hospital, Sean Rigg had already died at 20:24 at Brixton Police Station.